arXiv:cond-mat/0701227v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 11 Jan 2007

Some exact identities connecting one- and two-particle Green's functions in spin-orbit coupling systems

Hua-Tong Yang[1, *]

[1]*School of Physics,Peking University, Beijing 100871,China*

Some exact identities connecting the one- and two-particle Green's functions in the presence of spin-orbit coupling have been derived. These identities is similar to the usual Ward identity in the particle or charge transport theory and a satisfying spin transport theory in spin-orbit coupling system should also preserve these identities.

PACS numbers: 72.25.Dc, 72.25.Ba, 72.25.Mk

I. INTRODUCTION

In usual quantum transport theory of charge (or particles), the derivatives of exact single- and two-particle Green's functions(or equivalent vertexes) satisfy an exact identity, called generalized Ward identity,[1] which essentially represents the conservation law or gauge invariance. On the other hand, in a practical calculation of the quantum transport phenomena we often adopt some approximation methods. Therefore, it is a very important criterion to ensure that the approximation remain this exact identity, this kind of approximations is called as self-consistent or conserving approximation.[2] It turns out that the self-energy must be taken as a Φ-derivative form.[3] Recently, there has been increasing interest in spin transport in spin-orbit coupling systems and some resulting effects, e.g., spin-Hall effect(SHE),[4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16] because it maybe provide a promising method to manipulate the electronic spin without application of magnetic field[17,18,19,20] in spintronics.[21,22] As well known, the spin is not a conserved quantity in the presence of spin-orbit coupling, therefore, a natural question is that whether there are also some exact identities similar to the usual Ward identity in the charge transport theory? In this Letter we will derive some exact identities connecting the one- and two-body Green's functions in the presence of spin-orbit coupling. It is a consequence of a more general continuity-like equation, which was given as an equation valid for average values of the spin-density, current and torque in our earlier paper,[23] here we shall prove that it is valid not only for the averages of these quantities, but also holds as an operator identity. Moreover, it will imply some other exact identities connecting various correlation functions, which represent more general matrix elements of the spin-density, current and torque. Therefore, a self-consistent or conserving approximation for the response of the spin- density, current and torque must also preserve these identities.

II. THE MAIN IDENTITIES

A. Model and Hamiltonian

Without loss of generality, the Hamiltonian with a general spin-orbit coupling can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} \;=\; \int \psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})[\hat{h}_0(\mathbf{x},\nabla)]_{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}) + \int v(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\psi^\dagger_\beta(\mathbf{x}')\psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}')\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}). \tag{1}$$

Here and hereafter a summation over repeated suffix is implied and

$$\hat{h}_0 = \frac{1}{2m}(-i\widetilde{\nabla})^2 + e\phi + \hat{h}_{\rm so} \tag{2}$$

with $\widetilde{\nabla}_i \equiv \widetilde{\partial}_i = \partial_i - i\frac{e}{c}A_i$, $i = x, y, z$, $\hat{h}_{\rm so}$ is the spin-orbit coupling term. We suppose

$$\hat{h}_{\rm so} = \hat{H}^{(0)} + \hat{H}^{(1)}_i(-i\widetilde{\partial}_i) + \hat{H}^{(2)}_{ij}(-i\widetilde{\partial}_i)(-i\widetilde{\partial}_j) + \hat{H}^{(3)}_{ijk}(-i\widetilde{\partial}_i)(-i\widetilde{\partial}_j)(-i\widetilde{\partial}_k) + \cdots, \tag{3}$$

with $\hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ an operator acting on spin variables, meanwhile, it is also the component of a tensor of rank n'th and is supposed to be symmetric under the permutations of its suffixes $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_n$. Moreover, we assume that all $\hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}$ are independent of $\mathbf{x}$ except $\hat{H}^{(0)}$.

B. Two Mathematical Lemmas

Firstly, we have to introduce two useful identities. The first one can be immediately verified by using the technique due to Takahashi, Umezawa and Lurie.[24]

Lemma.1 Let

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ \psi_2(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \psi_N(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\phi_1^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \phi_2^\dagger(\mathbf{x}), \cdots, \phi_N^\dagger(\mathbf{x})\right)$$

are functions of $\mathbf{x}$. $\mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}$ is a matrix of $N \times N$, and is assumed to be symmetric with respect to the permutations of its suffixes. It is easy to verify that for any integer $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} (\partial^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Psi) - (-1)^n (\partial^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Phi^\dagger) \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Psi = \partial_i J_i(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} (\partial^{n-1}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi) + (-\partial_{i_1} \Phi^\dagger) \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} (\partial^{n-2}_{i_2 \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} (\partial^{n-1}_{i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \Phi^\dagger) \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k (\partial^k_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \Phi^\dagger) \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} (\partial^{n-k-1}_{i_{k+1} \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \Phi^\dagger \overleftarrow{\partial}^k_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \overrightarrow{\partial}^{n-k-1}_{i_{k+1} \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here and hereafter we use $\overrightarrow{\partial}$ to indicate the differentiation acting on its right-hand side factor Ψ, while $\overleftarrow{\partial}$ acts on its left-hand side factor $\Psi^\dagger$.

Moreover, we can verify the following theorem:

Lemma.2 Let $n \geq 1$ and $\overline{\partial}_i \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{\partial}_i - \overleftarrow{\partial}_i)$, we have

$$\begin{gathered} \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} (\partial^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Psi) - \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \overline{\partial}^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Psi = \partial_i J'_i(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}'(\mathbf{x}), \\ (-1)^n (\partial^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Phi^\dagger) \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Psi - \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \overline{\partial}^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \Psi = \partial_i J''_i(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}''(\mathbf{x}), \end{gathered} \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J'_i(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \overline{\partial}^k_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \overrightarrow{\partial}^{n-k-1}_{i_{k+1} \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi \right) \equiv \frac{1}{2} P'_i(\mathbf{x}), \\ J''_i(\mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i, i_1 \cdots i_{n-1}} \overleftarrow{\partial}^k_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \overline{\partial}^{n-k-1}_{i_{k+1} \cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi \right) \equiv \frac{1}{2} P''_i(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and $\overline{\partial}^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} = \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{\partial}_{i_1} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_1}) \cdots \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{\partial}_{i_n} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{i_n})$.

Proof. From the Def.(7), we can directly verify that

$$\partial_i J'_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overrightarrow{\partial}_i + \overleftarrow{\partial}_i \right) P'_i(\mathbf{x}) = (\overrightarrow{\partial}_i - \overline{\partial}_i) P_i(\mathbf{x}) = \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{A}_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \left(\overrightarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} - \overline{\partial}^n_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} \right) \Psi \tag{8}$$

The second line of Eq.(6) can be verified in a same way. □

The Eq.(4) and (6) also remain valid if all ∂_i's are replaced by $\widetilde{\partial}_i$'s because $\overleftarrow{\widetilde{\partial}_i} = \overleftarrow{\partial}_i + i\frac{e}{c}A_i$, so $\overrightarrow{\partial}_i + \overleftarrow{\partial}_i = \overrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}}_i + \overleftarrow{\widetilde{\partial}}_i$.

C. Generalized equation of continuity

Now we consider a density operator $\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \psi^\dagger_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \sigma^\mu \Psi(\mathbf{x})$, where

$$\Psi^\dagger = (\psi^\dagger_\uparrow, \psi^\dagger_\downarrow), \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow \\ \psi_\downarrow \end{pmatrix}.$$

ρ_{σ^μ} will represent the particle density if the matrix σ^μ is a unit matrix $\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, or the spin density if σ^μ equals to the Pauli matrix σ^1, σ^2, σ^3. According to the equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t) &= i\left[\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\hat{h}_0(\mathbf{x}, -\overleftarrow{\partial}) + \int v(\mathbf{x}-\bar{\mathbf{x}})\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\Psi^\dagger(\bar{\mathbf{x}}t)\Psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}t)\right], \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{x}t) &= -i\left[\hat{h}_0(\mathbf{x}, \overrightarrow{\partial})\Psi(\mathbf{x}t) + \int v(\mathbf{x}-\bar{\mathbf{x}})\Psi^\dagger(\bar{\mathbf{x}}t)\Psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}t)\Psi(\mathbf{x}t)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the bar over $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ is to indicate the integral variable, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = -i\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\left[\sigma^\mu\hat{h}_0(\mathbf{x}, \overrightarrow{\partial}) - \hat{h}_0(\mathbf{x}, -\overleftarrow{\partial})\sigma^\mu\right]\Psi(\mathbf{x}t). \tag{10}$$

The four-operators terms are canceled because

$$\int [v(\mathbf{x}-\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - v(\mathbf{x}-\bar{\mathbf{x}})]\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\Psi^\dagger(\bar{\mathbf{x}}t)\Psi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}t)\Psi(\mathbf{x}t) = 0,$$

Substitute Eq.(2) into Eq.(10) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = -i\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\left\{\frac{1}{2m}\left(\overleftarrow{\Delta}^2\sigma^\mu - \sigma^\mu\overrightarrow{\nabla}^2\right) + \left[\sigma^\mu\hat{h}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}, \overrightarrow{\partial}) - \hat{h}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}, -\overleftarrow{\partial})\sigma^\mu\right]\right\}\Psi(\mathbf{x}t). \tag{11}$$

The right-hand side of the Eq.(11) includes two different kinds of terms. The first one comes from the kinetic energy

$$\frac{-i}{2m}\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\left[\overleftarrow{\Delta}^2\sigma^\mu - \sigma^\mu\overrightarrow{\nabla}^2\right]\Psi(\mathbf{x}t) = -\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_0^{\sigma^\mu}, \tag{12}$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_0^{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}t) = \frac{-i}{2m}\left[\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\sigma^\mu\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{x}t) - \nabla\Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}t)\sigma^\mu\Psi(\mathbf{x}t)\right]. \tag{13}$$

The second term is due to the spin-orbit coupling

$$-i\Psi^\dagger\left[\sigma^\mu\hat{h}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}, \overrightarrow{\partial}) - \hat{h}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}, -\overleftarrow{\partial})\sigma^\mu\right]\Psi = -\sum_n(-i)^{n-1}\Psi^\dagger[\sigma^\mu\hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\overrightarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n} - (-1)^n\hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\sigma^\mu\overleftarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n}]\Psi. \tag{14}$$

Using the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^\mu\hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n} &= \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\} + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}], \\ \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\sigma^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\{\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\} - \frac{1}{2}[\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Eq.(14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} i\Psi^\dagger\left[\sigma^\mu\hat{h}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}, \overrightarrow{\partial}) - \hat{h}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}, -\overleftarrow{\partial})\sigma^\mu\right]\Psi &= \sum_n\frac{(-i)^{n-1}}{2}\Psi^\dagger[\{\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\}(\overrightarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n} - (-1)^n\overleftarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n}) \\ &+ [\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}](\overrightarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n} + (-1)^n\overleftarrow{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n})]\Psi. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Let $\Phi^\dagger = \Psi^\dagger$, $\mathbf{A}_{i_1\cdots i_n} = \{\hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}, \sigma^\mu\}$ and use the Lemma.1, the first term of Eq.(16) can be written as $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_{\rm so}^{\sigma^\mu}$, where

$$(J_{\rm so}^{\sigma^\mu})_i = \sum_n\frac{(-i)^{n-1}}{2}\Psi^\dagger\{\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1 i_2\cdots i_n}\}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k\overleftarrow{\partial}^k_{i_2\cdots i_{k-1}}\overrightarrow{\partial}^{n-k-1}_{i_k\cdots i_n}\Psi. \tag{17}$$

The second term of Eq.(16) can be rewritten as $\nabla\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{J}}_{\rm so}^{\sigma^\mu} - T^{\sigma^\mu}$, where the T^{σ^μ} and $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{\sigma^\mu}$ are respectively defined as

$$T^{\sigma^\mu} = -\sum_n(-i)^{n-1}\Psi^\dagger\left[\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i_1\cdots i_n}\right]\overline{\partial}^n_{i_1\cdots i_n}\Psi. \tag{18}$$

$$(\tilde{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so})_i = \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{(-i)^{n-1}}{4} \Psi^\dagger \left[\sigma^\mu, \hat{H}^{(n)}_{i,i_1\cdots i_{n-1}}\right] \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\overrightarrow{\partial}^k_{i_1\cdots i_k} - (-1)^k \overleftarrow{\partial}^k_{i_1\cdots i_k}) \overline{\partial}^{n-k-1}_{i_{k+1}\cdots i_{n-1}} \Psi. \tag{19}$$

We notice that the T^{σ^μ} is given by a polynomial of $\overline{\partial}_i = 1/2(\overrightarrow{\partial}_i - \overleftarrow{\partial}_i)$, therefore, it can not be rewritten as a divergence form.[23] Therefore, we obtain the following identity

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}t)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_0(\mathbf{x}t) + \mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}t) + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}t)\right] = T^{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}t). \tag{20}$$

The generalized continuity equation given in our previous paper[23] can be obtained by takeing the average value on both sides of Eq.(20). Evidently, Eq.(20) includes two different cases: 1). $\sigma^\mu = \sigma^0$, in this case ρ_{σ^0} is the number density ρ, from the Def.(18) and Def.(19) we have $T^{\sigma^0} = 0$, $\tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{\sigma^0}_{\rm so} = 0$, so, $\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^0} + \mathbf{J}^{\sigma^0}_{\rm so}$ is the current density $\mathbf{J}$ and we have the following local conservation law of number

$$\frac{\partial \rho(\mathbf{x}t)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{J}_0(\mathbf{x}t) + \mathbf{J}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}t)) = 0. \tag{21}$$

2). $\sigma^\mu = \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3$, Eq.(20) represents the continuity-like equation of spin.

D. Exact identities connecting the correlation functions

Because Eq.(20) is an operator identity, it is valid for arbitrary matrix-element of both sides of this equation. Therefore, for any operator $O(\mathbf{x}'t')$, we have the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle \mathcal{T}[\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}t) O(\mathbf{x}'t')]\rangle + \nabla \cdot \langle \mathcal{T}[(\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_0(\mathbf{x}t) + \mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}t) + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(\mathbf{x}t)) O(\mathbf{x}'t')]\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{T}[T^{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}t) O(\mathbf{x}'t')]\rangle + \delta(t-t')\langle [\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(\mathbf{x}t), O(\mathbf{x}'t')]\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where the $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-ordering operator and O may equal to, e.g., ρ_{σ^μ} or $\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}$ etc. Similarly, for arbitrary operators A and B, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\langle \mathcal{T}\{\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(1) A(2) B(3)\}\rangle + \nabla_1 \cdot \langle \mathcal{T}\{[\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_0(1) + \mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(1) + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(1)] A(2) B(3)\}\rangle - \langle \mathcal{T}\{T^{\sigma^\mu}(1) A(2) B(3)\}\rangle \\
&\qquad = \delta(t_1 - t_2)\langle \mathcal{T}\{[\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(x_1 t_1), A(x_2 t_1)] B(3)\}\rangle + \delta(t_1 - t_3)\langle \mathcal{T}\{A(2)[\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(x_1 t_1), B(x_3 t_1)]\}\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

For example, if $A(2) = \psi_\alpha(2)$ and $B(3) = \psi^\dagger_\beta(3)$, Eq.(23) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\langle \mathcal{T}\{\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(1)\psi_\alpha(2)\psi^\dagger_\beta(3)\}\rangle + \nabla_1 \cdot \langle \mathcal{T}\{[\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_0(1) + \mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(1) + \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\rm so}(1)]\psi_\alpha(2)\psi^\dagger_\beta(3)\}\rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{T}\{T^{\sigma^\mu}(1)\psi_\alpha(2)\psi^\dagger_\beta(3)\}\rangle - \delta(1-2)\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\nu}\langle \mathcal{T}\{\psi_\nu(2)\psi^\dagger_\beta(3)\}\rangle + \delta(1-3)\langle \mathcal{T}\{\psi_\alpha(2)\psi^\dagger_\nu(3)]\}\rangle\sigma^\mu_{\nu\beta} \\
&= \langle \mathcal{T}\{T^{\sigma^\mu}(1)\psi_\alpha(2)\psi^\dagger_\beta(3)\}\rangle - i\left[\delta(1-2)\sigma^\mu G(2,3) - \delta(1-3)G(2,3)\sigma^\mu\right]_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

These identities represents the exact relations satisfied by the generalized responses functions of the spin- density, current and spin torque to some external perturbations. The physical essential of these identities is that the responses of the spin-density, current and torque in non-equilibrium should also obey the generalized continuity equation, since this equation is an exact operator identity, which would be satisfied in any situation. Therefore, if we calculate the spin-density, current and torque by some approximate methods, then the approximation must also preserve these exact identities.

E. Rashba Model

Because the expressions of the spin-current and torque are dependent on the concrete Hamiltonian, therefore, the form of these identities also depend on Hamiltonian of the system. As an example, we consider a 2D system with Rashba spin-orbit coupling

$$h_R = \alpha(\sigma_x p_y - \sigma_y p_x). \tag{25}$$

According to the definition of Def.(13), (17) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{T}[\rho_{\sigma^\mu}(1)\psi_\nu(2)\psi^\dagger_{\nu'}(3)]\rangle &= \sigma^\mu_{\eta'\eta} G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3), \\
\langle \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_0(1)\psi_\nu(2)\psi^\dagger_{\nu'}(3)]\rangle &= \frac{1}{2im}\sigma^\mu_{\eta'\eta}\left[\left(\nabla_1 - \nabla_{1'}\right) G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right]_{1'=1^+}, \\
\langle \mathcal{T}[\mathbf{J}^{\sigma^\mu}_{\mathrm{so}}(1)\psi_\nu(2)\psi^\dagger_{\nu'}(3)]\rangle &= \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(-\{\sigma^\mu,\sigma^y\}_{\eta'\eta}, \{\sigma^\mu,\sigma^x\}_{\eta'\eta}\right) G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3), \\
\langle \mathcal{T}[T^{\sigma^\mu}(1)\psi_\nu(2)\psi^\dagger_{\nu'}(3)]\rangle &= \frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{([\sigma^\mu,\sigma^y](\partial_{x_1}-\partial_{x'_1}) - [\sigma^\mu,\sigma^x](\partial_{y_1}-\partial_{y'_1}))_{\eta'\eta} G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right\}_{1'=1^+}, \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

where $G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',2') = \langle \mathcal{T}[\psi_\eta(1)\psi_\nu(2)\psi^\dagger_{\eta'}(1')\psi^\dagger_{\nu'}(2')]\rangle$. Here we only focus our attention on the identities related to spin transport, so, take the $\sigma^\mu = \sigma^x$, σ^y and σ^z respectively and substitute Eq.(26) into (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma^x_{\eta'\eta}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3) + \nabla\cdot\frac{1}{2im}\left[\left(\nabla_1 - \nabla_{1'}\right) G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right]_{1'=1^+}\right\} + \alpha\partial_{y_1}G_{\eta\nu\eta\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3) \\
&\qquad = i\alpha\sigma^z_{\eta'\eta}\left[(\partial_{x_1}-\partial_{x'_1})G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right]_{1'=1^+} - i\left[\delta(1-2)\sigma^x G(2,3) - \delta(1-3)G(2,3)\sigma^x\right]_{\nu\nu'} \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma^y_{\eta'\eta}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3) + \nabla\cdot\frac{1}{2im}\left[\left(\nabla_1 - \nabla_{1'}\right) G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right]_{1'=1^+}\right\} - \alpha\partial_{x_1}G_{\eta\nu\eta\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3) \\
&\qquad = i\alpha\sigma^z_{\eta'\eta}\left[(\partial_{y_1}-\partial_{y'_1})G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right]_{1'=1^+} - i\left[\delta(1-2)\sigma^y G(2,3) - \delta(1-3)G(2,3)\sigma^y\right]_{\nu\nu'} \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma^z_{\eta'\eta}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1^+,3) + \nabla\cdot\frac{1}{2im}\left[\left(\nabla_1 - \nabla_{1'}\right) G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right]_{1'=1^+}\right\} \\
&= -i\alpha\left\{[\sigma^x_{\eta'\eta}(\partial_{x_1}-\partial_{x'_1}) + \sigma^y_{\eta'\eta}(\partial_{y_1}-\partial_{y'_1})]G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',3)\right\}_{1'=1^+} \\
&\quad - i\left[\delta(1-2)\sigma^z G(2,3) - \delta(1-3)G(2,3)\sigma^z\right]_{\nu\nu'} \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

If we define $L_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',2') = G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',2') - G_{\eta\eta'}(1,1')G_{\nu\nu'}(2,2')$, and substitute this definition into Eq.(27) (28) and (29), we shall obtain similar identities connecting L and G, in which all $G_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',2')$'s are replaced by $L_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',2')$'s, while the contribution of the second term $G_{\eta\eta'}(1,1')G_{\nu\nu'}(2,2')$ of L will be vanishing owing to the general continuity equation. The L is a general response function, which can also be given by

$$L_{\eta\nu\eta'\nu'}(1,2;1',2') = -\left[\frac{\delta G_{\nu\nu'}(2,2';U)}{\delta U_{\eta'\eta}(1',1)}\right]_{U=0},$$

where the U dependence of $G(U)$ is given by

$$iG_{\eta\eta'}(1,1';U) = \frac{\langle \mathcal{T}[\psi_\eta(1)\psi^\dagger_{\eta'}(1')e^{-i\int U_{\lambda\lambda'}(\bar{2},\bar{2}')\psi^\dagger_\lambda(\bar{2})\psi_{\lambda'}(\bar{2}')}]\rangle}{\langle \mathcal{T}[e^{-i\int U_{\lambda\lambda'}(\bar{2},\bar{2}')\psi^\dagger_\lambda(\bar{2})\psi_{\lambda'}(\bar{2}')}]\rangle}.$$

For the system with other kind of spin-orbit couplings, e.g., Luttinger model, Dresselhaus spin-orbit coupling or 2D cubic Rashba model,[25,26,27,28] the Hamiltonian maybe include square or cubic terms in momentum. According to the definition, the expressions of the spin-current and torque will become more complex, so, the concrete form of these identities will be also become more complex, but the principle is the same.

III. SUMMARY

Some exact identities connecting the correlation functions and the one-particle Green's function in spin-orbit coupling systems were derived. These identities are very similar to the usual Ward identity in charge or particle transport theory, although the former are originated from a more general continuity-like equation while the latter is due to the conservation law or gauge invariance. These identities can provide a criterion of whether an approximate calculation of spin current and spin torque in the presence of spin-orbit coupling is self-consistent. Therefore, in practical calculations we must adopt some satisfactory approximations, which can preserve these identities.

Acknowledgments

We are very thankful to Zhongshui Ma and Dingping Li for their helpful suggestions. This work was supported by the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education and the China Postdoctoral Science foundation.

---

[*] Electronic address: htyang@pku.edu.cn

[1] G. Strinati, Revista Del Nuovo Cimento **11**, N.12 1(1986).
[2] G. Baym, and L. P. Kadanoff, Phys. Rev. **124**, 287 (1961).
[3] G. Baym, Phys. Rev. **127**, 1391 (1962).
[4] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang, Science, **301**, 1348(2003).
[5] J. Sinova, D.Culcer, Q. Niu, N.A.Sinitsyn, T.Jungwirth and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 126603(2004).
[6] J. I. Inoue, G. E. W. Bauer, and L. W. Molenkamp, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 041303(R) (2004).
[7] O. V. Dimitrova, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 245327(2005).
[8] E. G. Mishchenko, A. V. Shytov, and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 226602 (2004).
[9] J. Schliemann, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 165315 (2004).
[10] K. Nomura, J. Sinova, T. Jungwirth, Q. Niu, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 041304(R) (2005).
[11] O. Chalaev, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 245318 (2005).
[12] R. Raimondi, and P. Schwab, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 033311 (2005).
[13] A. Khaetskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 056602(2006).
[14] J. Schliemann, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 085308(2005).
[15] S. Y. Liu, X. L. Lei, and N. J. M. Horing, Phys. Rev. B , **73**, 035323(2006).
[16] V.V.Bryksin, and P.Kleinert, Phys. Rev. B **73**,165313(2006).
[17] Y. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature **427**, 50(2003).
[18] Y. K. Kato, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Science **306**, 1910 (2004).
[19] J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 047204 (2005).
[20] T. Koga, Y. Sekine, and J. Nitta, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 041302(R)(2006).
[21] E. I. Rashba, and Al. L. Efros,Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**,126405(2003); E. I. Rashba, Physica E **20**, 189(2004).
[22] I. Zutic, D. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 323 (2004).
[23] Hua-Tong Yang, and Chengshi Liu, cond-mat/0604320 (to be published in Phys. Rev. B).
[24] Y. Takahashi, and H. Umezawa, Nuclear Physics **51**. 193(1964); D. Lurié, Y. Takahashi, and H. Umezawa, J.Math.Phys. **7**, 1478(1966).
[25] J. M. Luttinger, Phys. rev. **102**, 1030(1956).
[26] G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. **100**, 580(1955).
[27] E.I.Rahsba, and V.I. Sheka, Fiz. Tverd. Tela(Leningrad) **3**, 1753(1961)[Sov. Phys. Solid State **3**, 1257(1961)].
[28] M.I.D'yakonov, and V.I. Perel', Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **60**, 1954(1971)[Sov. Phys. JETP **33**, 1053(1971)].